Days from receipt to completion	Summary of allegation(s)	Complaint Category and type	Complaint Outcome (Humberside Police)	Review Outcome (LPB)	Recommendations to Force	Force response to Recommendation
27	The complainant alleges that Humberside Police have not protected or supported them whilst being victim of harassment from their neighbour.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.	Not Reasonable & Proportionate Upheld	A copy of the review request submitted by the complainant is to be provided to the Inspector so that they are aware of the more recent incident which does not appear to have been addressed in keeping with what has been promised. Inspector should consider the wording of their appology and, if appropriate, clarify to the complainant on it covering all failings.	Accepted
36	The complainant alleges that an officer is harassing and stalking them because they were pulled over for a traffic offence.	H - Individual behaviour H5 - Overbearing or harassing behaviours	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant is unhappy with the attitude from a police sergeant when ringing to discuss their investigation.	H -Individual behaviour H3 - Unprofessional attitude and disrespect	The service provided was acceptable.			
	The complainant is unhappy that when they called the Force Control Room (FCR) for an update on all logs, they were told they needed to enter a police station.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.			
32	The complainant is unhappy that Humberside Police will not be dealing with their investigation. They do not accept that Hampshire Police should be the ones looking into it as the offence happened in Fleet.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant is unhappy that whenever they called into 101 for the OIC, they never received a call back.	A- Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.			
	The complainant is unhappy that officers never attended pre-arranged appointments and never called to say they wouldn't be attending.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was not reasonable or proportionate.			
28	The complainant states that the officer did not advise their body worn video camera was recording at the beginning of a visit.	A - Delivery of duties and services A3 - Information	Unable to determine whether or not the service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant alleges that the officer was informed about a previous relationship that the complainant had, by someone associated with the other involved person, which the complainant believes negatively influenced the way they were spoken to and dealt with.	impartiality	The service provided was acceptable.			
	The complainant believes the officer condoned vigilante actions of their neighbours.	H - Individual behaviour H4 - Lack of fairness and impartiality	The service provided was acceptable.			
27	The complainant alleges that Humberside Police failed to fully investigate their report of harassment.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
27	The complainant is unhappy with the lack of contact and updates following a meeting regarding a change in policy.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	No further action.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant is unhappy with the lack of any movement, or general interest from the Police generally.					
14		B - Police powers, policies and procedures B4 - Use of force	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant alleges they were wrongfully arrested, and the police acted on a malicious report.	B - Police powers, policies and procedures B3 - Power to arrest and detain	The service provided was acceptable.			
	was seized, alleging this was an abuse of	B - Police powers, policies and procedures B2 - Searches of premises and seizure of property	The service provided was acceptable.			
19	The complainant is unhappy that they have been unable to activate Humberside Police's Anti-Corruption policies.	B - Police powers, policies and procedures B9 - Other policies and procedures	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
		A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.			

28	The complainant is dissatisfied with the level of updates and empathy shown by police regarding the sudden death of a their father. The complainant is dissatisfied with the investigation carried out in relation to CCTV and mobile phone evidence.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service B - Police powers, policies and procedures B7 - Evidential procedures	The service provided was not reasonable or proportionate. The service provided was not reasonable or proportionate.	Not Reasonable & Proportionate Upheld	A review needs to be carried out of why the accepted failings happened. From that review, formal performance improvement measures or learning should be taken, to address any individual or process failings. The complainant should be kept updated of the findings	Accepted
					of any review and the details of all the remedial work which may be carried out.	
13	The complainant is dissatisfied with how their seized property was handled and feels they are being lied to by Humberside Police.		The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
17	The complainant is unhappy with the conduct of a member of staff who is part of the complaints team.	H - Individual behaviour H2 - Impolite and intolerant actions	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant is unhappy with a member of the legal services team who they allege was rude to them on the telephone.	H - Individual behaviour H1 - Impolite language and tone	The service provided was acceptable.			
	The complainant is unhappy that information was released through Clare's Law.	D - Access and/or disclosure of information D2 - Disclosure of information	The service provided was acceptable.			
12	The complainant is unhappy that they received a letter from the police stating their vehicle registration number will be held on police systems for 12 months, due to a community speed watch team catching them speeding through a village.	A - Delivery of duties and services A3 - Information	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
20	The complainant alleges a police officer assaulted them on two separate occasions at a football game.	B - Police powers, policies and procedures B4 - Use of force	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
13	The complainant is unhappy that a member of the Central Ticket Office called them and intimidated them about their not guilty plea for a speeding offence.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
13	The complainant is unhappy with their arrest, stating it was unlawful and there was no warrant to arrest them.	B - Police powers, policies and procedures B3 - Power to arrest and detain	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant is unhappy with the outcome of an investigation.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.			
	The complainant is unhappy their mobile phone was seized on their arrest and dissatisfied with the assessments conducted on the seized phone.	B - Police powers, policies and procedures B2 - Searches of premises and seizure of property	The service provided was acceptable. No further action.			
	The complainant alleges the police were following, tracking and stalking them.	B - Police powers, policies and procedures B9 - Other policies and procedures				
14	The complainant is unhappy that their phone was seized without explanation, and they were not allowed to retrieve vital MH numbers from their phone.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant is unhappy that an appropriate adult was refused as the complainant is unable to read or write and process information.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4- General level of service	The service provided was not reasonable or proportionate.			
	The complainant is unhappy with the way the OIC acted and spoke.	H -Individual behaviours H2 - Impolite and intolerant actions	Unable to determine whether or not the service provided was acceptable.			
16	The complainant is unhappy that their investigation has been closed.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	of the investigation.	A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.			
28	The complainant is dissatisfied a call handler refused to send officers when the complainant called 101.	A - Delivery of duties and services A1 - Police action following contact	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
	The complainant is dissatisfied the call handler refused to pass details to officers in the area following their report of drug driving.	A - Delivery of duties and services A1 - Police action following contact	The service provided was acceptable.			
	The complainant states the call handler they spoke to gave wrong information and false hope regarding a harassment investigation.	A - Delivery of duties and services A1 - Police action following contact	The service provided was acceptable.			
28	The complainant is dissatisfied with the lack of police action after reporting an incident which happened at their address.	A - Delivery of duties and services A4 - General level of service	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate Not upheld		
			-			

29	The complainant is dissatisfied that at no	B - Police powers, policies and	The service provided was acceptable.	Reasonable and Proportionate	
	point in the process have they been	procedures		Not upheld	
	contacted by the arresting officer. They do	B3- Power to arrest and detain			
	not understand why they were first				
	detained, and why handcuffs were placed				
	on them.				
	The complainant is unhappy that were not	A - Delivery of duties and services	The service provided was acceptable.		
	given the arresting officer's name, as well as	A4 - General level of service			
	the length of time they were detained at the				
	police station.				
	The complainant is unhappy that as a paying	A - Delivery of duties and services	The service provided was acceptable.		
	lodger, they had no rights to stay in the	A4 - General level of service			
	property and were not permitted to return				
	to the property on release from custody.				