

**OFFICE OF THE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER
FOR HUMBERSIDE
DECISION RECORD**

Decision Record Number: 132019

Title: **Treasury Management Strategy Statement 2019/20**

Executive Summary:

A copy of the Treasury Management Strategy Statement was submitted. It had been considered by the Joint Independent Audit Committee on 18.03.2019 and recommended to the Commissioner for approval.

Decision:

That the Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2019/20 be approved.

Background Report: Open


Police and Crime Commissioner for Humberside

I confirm I have considered whether or not I have any personal or prejudicial interest in this matter and take the proposed decision in compliance with my code of conduct.

Any such interests are recorded below.

The above decision has my approval.

Signature



Date 26/3/2019

TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY STATEMENT 2019/20

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

1. This report introduces the draft Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) which covers the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, Communities and Local Government (CLG) Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and CLG Investment Guidance.
2. The Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) is required to approve the TMSS prior to the start of the new financial year and he has requested that it should be considered by this Committee prior to the final submission for approval.

BACKGROUND

3. The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (the Prudential Code) underpins the system of capital finance. It allows local authorities, including police and crime commissioners, to determine their own programmes for capital investment. The Prudential Code was developed as a professional code of practice to support this decision making process. Local authorities and PCCs are required by Regulation to have regard to the Prudential Code when carrying out their duties in accordance with the Local Government Act 2003.
4. The objectives of the Code are to ensure that when decisions on strategic planning, asset management and capital investment are made authorities, including PCCs, operate within a clear framework to satisfy themselves that investment plans are considered affordable, prudent and sustainable and that treasury management decisions are taken in accordance with good professional practice. To demonstrate that these objectives have been fulfilled the Code sets out indicators that must be used and the factors that must be taken into account. It does not however suggest individual limits, these are matters for local authorities and PCCs themselves.
5. Prudential indicators required by the Code are designed to support and record local decision making in a manner that is publicly accountable.

6. The Code was revised in 2017, together with the MRP and investment guidance. It details the procedure for setting and revising prudential indicators. The PCC who sets the budget must determine and set them reflecting budget decisions and approve them before the start of the financial year.
7. The guidance seeks to ensure that treasury management decisions give priority to security and liquidity as opposed to yield. It also recommends that investment strategies are considered at the start of each year. Revised strategies can be submitted at other times if changing circumstances mean that changes are required. Strategies should be published. It must be noted that local authorities, including PCCs, should not rely just on credit ratings but should also consider other information on credit risk. Strategies should comment on the use of treasury management consultants and also comment on money borrowed in advance of spending needs.
8. As previously reported, the revisions to the guidance were aimed primarily at authorities engaging in non-treasury management activity e.g. investment in property. The PCC continues to have no dealings of this nature and none are proposed.
9. The TMSS refers again to the need for a Capital Strategy which is a new requirement for 2019/20. This is in the course of being prepared and will be submitted to the PCC for approval prior to the start of the new financial year. The Capital Strategy will incorporate aspects of the TMSS.
10. Treasury management consultants, Link Asset Services have provided a template for the format for the TMSS and this has been used in preparing the attached Appendix.
11. The TMSS for 2018/19 was approved by the PCC in March 2018, including a policy statement.

12. The Treasury Management Policy Statement for 2019/20 is set out below:-

- *Treasury management activities are defined as:-*

'The management of the organisation's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks'

- *The PCC regards the successful identification, monitoring and control of risk to be the prime criteria by which the effectiveness of treasury management activities will be measured. Accordingly, the analysis and reporting of treasury management activities will focus on their risk implications for the organisation.*

- *The PCC acknowledges that effective treasury management will provide support towards the achievement of his business and service objectives. He is therefore committed to the principles of achieving best value in treasury management, and to employing suitable performance measurement techniques, within the context of effective risk management.*

13. The TMSS includes details of the recommended MRP policy. No change to the current policy is proposed at this stage.

INFORMATION

14. The draft Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2019/20 is attached at Appendix 1.

OPTIONS, RISKS AND OPPORTUNITIES

15. The PCC must have regard to the Treasury Management Code and so it has been established that this does not need to be formally adopted. CLG guidance in relation to investments must also be taken into consideration alongside that on MRP.
16. The PCC is required to agree a Treasury Management Policy Statement and to have set out details of Treasury Management Practices in place to manage day to day activities.
17. The draft TMSS provides detailed information in relation to risks associated with treasury management activity and proposed mitigating actions. It acknowledges that the risk cannot be entirely eliminated but the Statement and the procedures detailed within it are intended to limit the PCC's exposure to unforeseen and unbudgeted financial consequences of treasury management activity.

POLICING PLAN AND PERFORMANCE

18. Effective treasury management arrangements are an important factor in ensuring that the Force and the OPCC operate efficiently and seek to contribute to the delivery of the Police and Crime Plan by seeking to make the best use of resources.

IMPACTS OR LINKS WITH COLLABORATION

19. The PCC's Finance Team is continuing to carry out the treasury management function for the PCC for South Yorkshire under a service level agreement.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

20. The report sets out details of the steps being taken to ensure that the PCC operates within a clear framework in relation to its treasury management activity both in relation to lending and borrowing.
21. The Prudential Indicator for Capital Expenditure is supported by detailed information on the capital programme set out in the Medium Term Resource Strategy (MTRS) 2019/20 -2023/24 that supported the PCC's proposal to increase the precept for 2019/20.
22. The MTRS and report on the precept proposal were considered by the Police and Crime Panel at its meeting on 5 February 2019. The proposal was unanimously supported by the Panel and further details of the discussions at that meeting will be reported elsewhere on the agenda.
23. There are no immediate financial implications in relation to the issues raised within this report however the financial consequences of treasury management activity generally are very significant and have been factored into the budget and financial forecasts within the MTRS. This information will be updated as the year progresses.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

24. The PCC is required to comply with the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003 and to have regard to both the CLG guidance and the CIPFA Code when determining treasury management policy and strategies and detailed practices.

EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

25. There is no direct impact on equality and diversity and human rights as a result of this report.

RECOMMENDATIONS

24. It is recommended that:-
- a) Members consider the issues raised in this report and endorse the Treasury Management Strategy Statement 2019/20, and
 - b) The PCC should approve the final version of the Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2019/20 that will be effective from 1 April 2019.

J BATES

Deputy Chief Executive and Treasurer

**Background Papers: Treasury Management Policy – File ref:
JB/TMSS/2019/20**

Contact Officer: John Bates 01482 220785



**HUMBERSIDE
POLICE & CRIME
COMMISSIONER**

Police and Crime Commissioner for Humberside

**Treasury Management Strategy
Statement 2019/20**
**Minimum Revenue Provision Policy
Statement and Annual Investment Strategy**

INDEX

1.1	Background.....	9
1.2	Reporting requirements.....	10
1.3	Treasury Management Strategy for 2019/20	10
1.4	Training	11
1.5	Treasury management consultants	11
2	THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2019/20 – 2021/22	12
2.1	Capital expenditure.....	12
2.2	The borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement).....	13
2.3	Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement	14
3	BORROWING	15
3.1	Current portfolio position	15
3.2	Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity	16
3.3	Prospects for interest rates	16
3.4	Borrowing strategy.....	18
3.5	Policy on borrowing in advance of need.....	19
3.6	Debt rescheduling.....	19
3.7	Municipal Bond	
	Agency.....	13
4	ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY	21
4.1	Investment policy – management of risk	21
4.2	Creditworthiness policy.....	21
4.3	Country limits	24
4.4	Investment strategy	24
4.5	Investment risk benchmarking	25
4.6	End of year investment report.....	25
5.	<u>APPENDICES</u>	
5.1	THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL & TREASURY INDICATORS 2019/20 – 2021/22	
5.2	<u>INTEREST RATE FORECASTS</u>	
5.3	<u>ECONOMIC BACKGROUND</u>	
5.4	<u>TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE -CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY RISK</u>	
5.5	TREASURY MANAGEMENT SCHEME OF DELEGATION	
5.6	THE REASURY MANAGEMENT ROLE OF THE S151 OFFICER	

1.INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Humberside Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that the cash flows are planned adequately, with cash being available when it is required. Surplus monies is invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the PCC's low risk appetite, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the PCC's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the PCC, essentially the longer-term cash flow planning, to ensure that the PCC can meet capital spending obligations. This management of longer-term cash may involve arranging long or short-term loans, or using longer-term cash flow surpluses. On occasion, when it is prudent and economic, any debt previously drawn could be restructured to meet the PCC's risk or cost objectives.

The contribution the treasury management function makes to the authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget.

CIPFA defines treasury management as:

"The management of the organisation's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

Revised reporting is required for the 2019/20 reporting cycle due to revisions of the MHCLG Investment Guidance, the MHCLG Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Guidance, the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code. The primary reporting changes include the introduction of a capital strategy, to provide a longer-term focus to the capital plans, and greater reporting requirements surrounding any commercial activity undertaken under the Localism Act 2011. The PCC has not engaged in any commercial investments and has no non-treasury investments. The Humberside Capital Strategy will be submitted to the PCC for approval prior to 31 March 2019.

Since July 2015, the Humberside Commissioner's Treasury Team, under a Service Level Agreement has managed the treasury management activities for the PCC for South Yorkshire.

1.2 Reporting requirements

1.2.1 Capital Strategy

The CIPFA revised 2017 Prudential and Treasury Management Codes require, for 2019-20, the PCC to prepare an additional report, a capital strategy report, which will provide the following:

- a high-level long term overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services,
- an overview of how the associated risk is managed, and
- the implications for future financial sustainability.

The aim of this capital strategy is to ensure the overall long-term policy objectives and resulting capital strategy requirements, governance procedures and risk appetite are documented and understood by all the PCC's stakeholders.

1.2.2 Treasury Management reporting

The PCC is currently required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main treasury reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

- a. Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy (this report)** – This is the first, and most important report, it is forward looking and covers:
 - the capital plans, (including prudential indicators);
 - a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy, (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
 - the treasury management strategy, (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised), including treasury indicators; and
 - an investment strategy, (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).
- b. A mid-year treasury management report** – This is primarily a progress report and will update members on the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether any policies require revision.
- c. An annual treasury report** – This is a backward looking review document and provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

Scrutiny

The above reports are scrutinised by the Joint Independent Audit Committee (JIAC) that is delegated to undertake this task before the reports are recommended to the PCC for approval.

1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2019/20

The strategy for 2019/20 covers two main areas:

Capital issues

- the capital expenditure plans and the associated prudential indicators;
- the minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

Treasury management issues

- the current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the PCC;
- prospects for interest rates;
- the borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- the investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- the policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, MHCLG MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and the MHCLG Investment Guidance.

1.4 Training

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This especially applies to members responsible for scrutiny. The training needs of treasury management officers and members are periodically reviewed. In 2019, training will be provided for JIAC members and finance and other members of staff.

1.5 Treasury management consultants

Both Humberside PCCs uses Link Asset Services, as external treasury management advisors.

The PCC recognises that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the organisation at all times and will ensure that undue reliance is not placed upon the services of the external service providers. All decisions will be undertaken with due regard to all available information, including, but not solely, from our treasury advisers.

The PCC also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources. The PCC will ensure that the terms of their appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

2 THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2019/20 – 2021/22

The PCC's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to provide an overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

2.1 Capital expenditure

This prudential indicator is a summary of the PCC's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle.

Capital expenditure £'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Revised	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Total	15,177	17,931	15,487	24,598	4,473

Other long-term liabilities - The above financing need excludes other long-term liabilities, such as leasing arrangements that already include borrowing instruments.

The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are forecast to be financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need. No forecasts have been included with regards to forecasts of capital receipts. Financing arrangements will be adjusted as/when disposals are completed and the disposal proceeds are received.

Financing of capital expenditure £'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Revised	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Capital receipts	590	283	-	-	-
Capital grants	1,180	698	713	700	700
Capital reserves	-	-	-	-	-
Revenue	100	-	-	-	-
Net financing need for the year	13,307	16,950	14,774	23,898	3,773

2.2 The borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the PCC's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). The CFR is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the PCC's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for through a revenue or capital resource, will increase the CFR.

The CFR does not increase indefinitely, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge, which broadly reduces the borrowing need.

The CFR includes any other long-term liabilities (e.g. leases). Whilst these increase the CFR, and therefore the PCC's borrowing requirement, these types of scheme include a borrowing facility so the PCC is not required to separately borrow for these schemes.

£'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Revised	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Capital Financing Requirement					
Opening CFR	59,360	70,680	84,487	95,120	113,810
Movement in CFR	11,320	13,807	10,633	18,690	(2,249)
Total CFR	70,680	84,487	95,120	113,810	111,561

Movement in CFR represented by					
Net financing need for the year (above)	13,307	16,950	14,774	23,898	3,773
Less MRP and other financing movements	1,987	3,143	4,141	5,208	6,022
Movement in CFR	11,320	13,807	10,633	18,690	(2,249)

2.3 Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

The PCC is required to pay off an element of the accumulated capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

*HMCLG regulations have been issued which require the PCC to approve **an MRP Statement** in advance of each year. A variety of options is provided to the PCC, so long as there is a prudent provision.*

The PCC's MRP Statement is as follows:-

For capital expenditure incurred before 1 April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure, the MRP policy will be:

- **Existing practice** - MRP will follow the existing practice outlined in former HMCLG regulations which provides for an approximate 4% reduction in the borrowing need (CFR) each year.

From 1 April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (including finance leases) the MRP policy will be:

- **Asset life method** – MRP will be based on the estimated life of the assets, in accordance with the regulations and any expenditure capitalised under a Capitalisation Direction.

3 BORROWING

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the PCC. The treasury management function ensures that the PCC's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes, so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity and the PCC's capital strategy. This will involve both the organisation of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury / prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

3.1 Current portfolio position

The PCC's treasury portfolio position at 31 March 2018 and forward projections are summarised below. The table shows the actual external debt, against the underlying capital borrowing need, (the CFR), highlighting any over or under borrowing:

	2017/18 Actual £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000
External Debt					
Debt at 1 April	29,207	45,281	54,827	66,190	86,710
Repayment of Debt	(2,426)	(7,404)	(3,411)	(3,378)	(3,266)
Forecast new Debt	18,500	16,950	14,774	23,898	3,733
Other L/t liabilities (OLTL)	-	-	-	-	-
Gross debt at 31 March	45,281	54,827	66,190	86,710	87,217
The CFR	70,680	84,487	95,120	113,810	111,561
Under borrowing	25,399	29,660	28,930	27,100	24,344

Within the range of prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the PCC operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the PCC needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2019/20 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.

The Deputy Chief Executive and Treasurer reports that the PCC has complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

3.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

The operational boundary: This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

Operational boundary £'000	2018/19 Revised	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Debt	80,879	110,562	106,469	103,821
Other long term liabilities	-	-	-	-
Total	80,879	110,562	106,469	103,821

The authorised limit for external debt: This is a key prudential indicator and represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a legal limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by the PCC. It reflects the level of external debt, which, while not desired could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

1. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all PCCs plans, or those of a specific PCC, although this power has not yet been exercised.
2. The PCC is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

Authorised limit £'000	2018/19 Revised	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Debt	82,879	112,562	108,469	105,821
Other long term liabilities	-	-	-	-
Total	82,879	112,562	108,469	105,821

3.3 Prospects for interest rates

The PCC has appointed Link Asset Services as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the PCC to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table gives their central view.

Link Asset Services Interest Rate View													
	Mar-19	Jun-19	Sep-19	Dec-19	Mar-20	Jun-20	Sep-20	Dec-20	Mar-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dec-21	Mar-22
Bank Rate View	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
3 Month LIBID	0.70%	0.80%	1.00%	1.10%	1.20%	1.40%	1.50%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%
6 Month LIBID	0.80%	0.90%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%
12 Month LIBID	1.00%	1.10%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%
5yr PWLB Rate	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%
10yr PWLB Rate	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
25yr PWLB Rate	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%	3.50%	3.50%	3.60%
50yr PWLB Rate	2.50%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%

2018 was a year which started with weak growth of only 0.1% in quarter 1. However, quarter 2 rebounded to 0.4% in quarter 2 followed by quarter 3 being exceptionally strong at +0.6%. Quarter 4 though, was depressed by the cumulative weight of Brexit uncertainty and came in at only +0.2%. Growth is likely to continue being weak until the Brexit fog clears.

The above forecasts are based on a major assumption that Parliament and the EU agree an orderly Brexit, either by 29 March or soon after. At their 7 February meeting, the MPC repeated their well-worn phrase that future Bank Rate increases would be gradual and would rise to a much lower equilibrium rate, (where monetary policy is neither expansionary or contractionary), than before the crash; indeed they have given a figure for this of around 2.5% in ten years' time but have declined to give a medium term forecast. However, with so much uncertainty around Brexit, the next move could be up or down, even if there was a disorderly Brexit. While it would be expected that Bank Rate could be cut if there was a significant fall in GDP growth as a result of a disorderly Brexit, so as to provide a stimulus to growth, they could also raise Bank Rate in the same scenario if there was a boost to inflation from increases in import prices, devaluation of sterling, and more expensive goods produced in the UK replacing cheaper goods previously imported, and so on. In addition, the Chancellor could provide fiscal stimulus to boost growth.

The overall longer run future trend is for gilt yields, and consequently PWLB rates, to rise, albeit gently. However, over about the last 25 years, we have been through a period of falling bond yields as inflation subsided to, and then stabilised at, much lower levels than before, and supported by central banks implementing substantial quantitative easing purchases of government and other debt after the financial crash of 2008. Quantitative easing, conversely, also caused a rise in equity values as investors searched for higher returns and purchased riskier assets. In 2016, we saw the start of a reversal of this trend with a sharp rise in bond yields after the US Presidential election in November 2016, with yields then rising further as a result of the big increase in the US government deficit aimed at stimulating even stronger economic growth. That policy change also created concerns around a significant rise in inflationary pressures in an economy which was already running at remarkably low levels of unemployment. Unsurprisingly, the Fed has continued on its series of robust responses to combat its perception of rising inflationary pressures by repeatedly increasing the Fed rate to reach 2.25 – 2.50% in December 2018. It has

also continued its policy of not fully reinvesting proceeds from bonds that it holds as a result of quantitative easing, when they mature. We therefore saw US 10 year bond Treasury yields rise above 3.2% during October 2018 and also investors causing a sharp fall in equity prices as they sold out of holding riskier assets. Since then, US 10 year bond yields have fallen back on fears that the Fed could be too aggressive in raising interest rates and was going to cause a recession. However, the Fed dropped any specific reference to expecting further rate increases at their January 30 meeting. Equity prices have been very volatile on alternating good and bad news during this period.

From time to time, gilt yields, and therefore PWLB rates, can be subject to exceptional levels of volatility due to geo-political, sovereign debt crisis, emerging market developments and sharp changes in investor sentiment. Such volatility could occur at any time during the forecast period.

Economic and interest rate forecasting remains difficult with so many external influences weighing on the UK. The above forecasts, (and MPC decisions), will be liable to further amendment depending on how economic data and developments in financial markets transpire over the next year. Geopolitical developments, especially in the EU, could also have a major impact. Forecasts for average investment earnings beyond the three-year time horizon will be heavily dependent on economic and political developments.

Investment and borrowing rates

Investment returns are likely to remain low during 2019/20 but to be on a gently rising trend over the next few years.

Borrowing interest rates have been volatile so far in 2018-19 and while they were on a rising trend during the first half of the year, they have fallen significantly since then. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served well over the last few years. However, this needs to be carefully reviewed to avoid incurring higher borrowing costs in the future when authorities may not be able to avoid new borrowing to finance capital expenditure and/or the refinancing of maturing debt;

There will remain a cost of carry, (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns), to any new long-term borrowing that causes a temporary increase in cash balances as this position will, most likely, incur a revenue cost.

3.4 Borrowing strategy

The PCC is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position. This means that the capital borrowing need (the CFR), has not been fully funded with loan debt as cash supporting the PCC's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is prudent as investment returns are low and counterparty risk is still an issue that needs to be considered.

Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2019/20 treasury operations. The Deputy Chief Executive and Treasurer will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances:

- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a sharp FALL in long and short term rates, (e.g. due to a marked increase of risks around relapse into recession or of risks of deflation), then long term borrowings will be postponed, and potential rescheduling from fixed rate funding into short term borrowing will be considered.*
- *if it was felt that there was a significant risk of a much sharper RISE in long and short term rates than that currently forecast, perhaps arising from an acceleration in the rate of increase in central rates in the USA and UK, an increase in world economic activity, or a sudden increase in inflation risks, then the portfolio position will be re-appraised. Most likely, fixed rate funding will be drawn whilst interest rates are lower than they are projected to be in the next few years.*

Any decisions will be reported to the appropriate decision making body at the next available opportunity.

3.5 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The PCC will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved CFR estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the PCC can ensure the security of such funds. Risks associated with any borrowing in advance of activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

3.6 Debt rescheduling

As short-term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long-term debt to short-term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify if there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

All rescheduling will be reported to the PCC at the earliest opportunity.

3.7 Municipal Bond Agency

It is possible that the Municipal Bond Agency will be offering loans to local authorities in the future. The Agency hopes that the borrowing rates will be lower than those offered by the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB). The PCC may make use of this new source of borrowing as and when appropriate.

4 ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

4.1 Investment policy – management of risk

The PCCs investment policy has regard to the following: -

- MHCLG's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance")
- CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2017 ("the Code")
- CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2018

The PCC's investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield, (return).

The above guidance from the MHCLG and CIPFA place a high priority on the management of risk. The PCC has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means: -

1. Minimum acceptable **credit criteria** are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the short term and long-term ratings.
2. **Other information:** ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the PCC will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as "**credit default swaps**" and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.
3. **Other information sources** used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.
4. This PCC has defined the list of **types of investment instruments** that the treasury management team are authorised to use. There are two lists in appendix 5.4 under the categories of 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments.

Changes in risk management policy from last year.

The above criteria are unchanged from last year.

4.2 Creditworthiness policy

This PCC applies the creditworthiness service provided by Link Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the

three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard & Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit Watches and credit Outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the PCC to determine the suggested duration for investments. The PCC will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

- Yellow 5 years
- Dark pink 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25
- Light pink 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5
- Purple 2 years
- Blue 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi nationalised UK Banks)
- Orange 1 year
- Red 6 months
- Green 100 days
- No colour not to be used

The Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information other than just primary ratings. Furthermore, by using a risk weighted scoring system, it does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the PCC will use will be a Short Term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1 and a Long Term rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances, consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

All credit ratings will be monitored at least monthly. The PCC is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the PCC's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.

- in addition to the use of credit ratings the PCC will be advised of information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a daily basis via its Passport website, provided exclusively to it by Link Asset Services. Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or removal from the PCC's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition the PCC will also use market data and market information, information on any external support for banks to help support its decision making process.

Y	Pi1	Pi2	P	B	O	R	G	N/C
1	1.25	1.5	2	3	4	5	6	7
Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 5yrs	Up to 2yrs	Up to 1yr	Up to 1yr	Up to 6mths	Up to 100days	No Colour

	Colour (and long term rating where applicable)	Time Limit
Banks *	yellow	5yrs
Banks	purple	2 yrs
Banks	orange	1 yr
Banks – part nationalised	blue	1 yr
Banks	red	6 mths
Banks	green	100 days
Banks	No colour	N/A
DMADF	UK sovereign rating	6 months
Local authorities	n/a	365 days

4.3 Country limits

The PCC has determined that only approved UK counterparties will be used.

4.4 Investment strategy

In-house funds: Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months).

Investment returns expectations: On the assumption that the UK and EU agree a Brexit deal in spring 2019 or soon after, then Bank Rate is forecast to increase steadily but slowly over the next few years to reach 2.00% by quarter 1 2022. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year ends (March) are:

- 2018/19 0.75%
- 2019/20 1.00%
- 2020/21 1.50%
- 2021/22 2.00%

The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably neutral. The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates, are probably also even and are dependent on how strong GDP growth turns out, how slowly inflation pressures subside, and how quickly the Brexit negotiations move forward positively.

Investment treasury indicator and limit: The PCC has determined that total principal funds will not be invested for longer than 365 days. For cash flow generated balances, the PCC will seek principally to utilise business reserve, instant access and notice accounts together with short-dated deposits where appropriate.

4.5 Investment risk benchmarking

The PCC will use an investment benchmark to assess the investment performance of its investment portfolio against the average 7 day LIBID rate.

4.6 End of year investment report

At the end of the financial year, the PCC will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

5 APPENDICES

1. Prudential and treasury indicators
2. Interest rate forecasts
3. Economic background
4. Treasury management practice 1 – credit and counterparty risk management
5. Treasury management scheme of delegation
6. The treasury management role of the section 151 officer

5.1 APPENDIX: THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL & TREASURY INDICATORS 2019/20 – 2021/22

The PCC's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

5.1.1 Capital expenditure

Capital expenditure £'000	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Revised	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Total	15,177	17,931	15,487	24,598	4,473

5.1.2 Affordability prudential indicators

The previous sections cover the overall capital and control of borrowing prudential indicators, but within this framework prudential indicators are required to assess the affordability of the capital investment plans. These provide an indication of the impact of the capital investment plans on the PCC's overall finances. The PCC is asked to approve the following indicators:

Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream

This indicator identifies the trend in the cost of capital, (borrowing and other long-term obligation costs net of investment income), against the net revenue stream.

%	2017/18 Actual	2018/19 Estimate	2019/20 Estimate	2020/21 Estimate	2021/22 Estimate
Total	1.73	2.47	3.16	3.92	4.51

The estimates of financing costs include current commitments and the proposals in this budget report.

5.1.3 Maturity structure of borrowing

Maturity structure of borrowing: These gross limits are set to reduce the PCC's exposure to large fixed rate sums falling due for refinancing, and are required for upper and lower limits.

The PCC is asked to approve the following treasury indicators and limits:

Maturity structure of fixed interest rate borrowing 2019/20		
	Lower	Upper
Under 12 months	0%	50%
12 months to 2 years	0%	75%
2 years to 5 years	0%	80%
5 years to 10 years	0%	80%
10 years and above	25%	100%

5.1.5. Control of interest rate exposure

Please see paragraphs 3.3, 3.4 and 4.4.

5.2 APPENDIX: INTEREST RATE FORECASTS 2019 – 2022

PWLB rates and forecast shown below have taken into account the 20 basis point certainty rate reduction effective as of the 1st November 2012.

Link Asset Services Interest Rate View													
	Mar-19	Jun-19	Sep-19	Dec-19	Mar-20	Jun-20	Sep-20	Dec-20	Mar-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dec-21	Mar-22
Bank Rate View	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
3 Month LIBID	0.70%	0.80%	1.00%	1.10%	1.20%	1.40%	1.50%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.80%	2.00%
6 Month LIBID	0.80%	0.90%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.60%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%
12 Month LIBID	1.00%	1.10%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%
5yr PWLB Rate	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%
10yr PWLB Rate	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
25yr PWLB Rate	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%	3.50%	3.50%	3.60%
50yr PWLB Rate	2.50%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%
Bank Rate													
Link Asset Services	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
Capital Economics	0.75%	0.75%	1.00%	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	-	-	-	-	-	-
5yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%
Capital Economics	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.20%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
Capital Economics	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.60%	-	-	-	-	-	-
25yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%	3.50%	3.50%	3.60%
Capital Economics	2.70%	2.80%	3.00%	3.10%	3.30%	3.20%	3.20%	3.10%	-	-	-	-	-
50yr PWLB Rate													
Link Asset Services	2.50%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%
Capital Economics	2.50%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	3.20%	3.20%	3.20%	3.10%	-	-	-	-	-

5.3 APPENDIX: ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

GLOBAL OUTLOOK. World growth has been doing reasonably well, aided by strong growth in the US. However, US growth is likely to fall back in 2019 and, together with weakening economic activity in China and the eurozone, overall world growth is likely to weaken.

Inflation concerns started building in the UK in 2018 due to unemployment falling to remarkably low levels which led to an acceleration of wage inflation. The US Fed continued to take action to contain potential inflationary pressures in 2018 and has therefore increased rates nine times during the current series, whereas the Bank of England has raised rates twice. However, the ECB is now probably unlikely to make a start on raising rates in 2019.

KEY RISKS - central bank monetary policy measures

Looking back on more than ten years since the financial crash of 2008 when liquidity suddenly dried up in financial markets, it can be assessed that central banks' monetary policy measures to counter the sharp world recession were successful. The key monetary policy measures they used were a combination of lowering central interest rates and flooding financial markets with liquidity, particularly through unconventional means such as quantitative easing (QE), where central banks bought large amounts of central government debt and smaller sums of other debt.

The key issue now is that period of an urgent emphasis on stimulating economic recovery and warding off the threat of deflation, is generally coming towards its close, though the major economies in the developed world are at different parts of the economic cycle. A new period is well advanced in the US, and started more recently in the UK, of reversing those measures i.e. by raising central rates and, (for the US), also reducing central banks' holdings of government and other debt. These measures are now required in order to stop the trend of a reduction in spare capacity in the economy and of unemployment falling to such low levels, that the re-emergence of inflation is viewed as a significant risk. It is, therefore, crucial that central banks get their timing right and do not cause shocks to market expectations that could destabilise financial markets. In particular, a key risk is that because QE-driven purchases of bonds drove up the price of government debt, and therefore caused a sharp drop in income yields, this also encouraged investors into a search for yield and into investing in riskier assets such as equities. Consequently, prices in both bond and equity markets rose to historically high valuation levels simultaneously. This meant that both asset categories were exposed to the risk of a sharp downward correction and we did, indeed, see a sharp fall in equity values in the last quarter of 2018 and into early 2019, which has since been partially reversed. It is important, therefore, that central banks only gradually unwind their holdings of bonds in order to prevent destabilising the financial markets. It is also likely that the timeframe for central banks unwinding their holdings of QE debt purchases will be over several years. They need to balance their timing to neither squash economic recovery, by taking too rapid and too strong action, or, conversely, let inflation run away by taking action that was too slow and/or too weak. **The potential for central banks to get this timing and strength of action wrong are now**

key risks. It is particularly notable that, at its 30 January 2019 meeting, the Fed dropped its previous words around expecting further increases in interest rates; it merely said it would be “patient”.

The world economy also needs to adjust to a sharp change in **liquidity creation** over the last five years where the US has moved from boosting liquidity by QE purchases, to reducing its holdings of debt, (currently about \$50bn per month). In addition, the European Central Bank ended its QE purchases in December 2018.

UK. 2018 was a year which started with weak growth of only 0.1% in quarter 1. However, quarter 2 rebounded to 0.4% in quarter 2 followed by quarter 3 being exceptionally strong at +0.6%. Quarter 4 though, was depressed by the cumulative weight of Brexit uncertainty and came in at only +0.2%, (1.3% y/y). Growth is likely to continue being weak until the Brexit fog clears.

The MPC has stated that future Bank Rate increases would be gradual and would rise to a much lower equilibrium rate, (where monetary policy is neither expansionary or contractionary), than before the crash; indeed they have given a figure for this of around 2.5% in ten years' time but have declined to give a medium term forecast. However, with so much uncertainty around Brexit, the next move could be up or down, even if there was a disorderly Brexit. While it would be expected that Bank Rate could be cut if there was a significant fall in GDP growth as a result of a disorderly Brexit, so as to provide a stimulus to growth, the MPC could also raise Bank Rate in the same scenario if there was a boost to inflation from increases in import prices, devaluation of sterling, and more expensive goods produced in the UK replacing cheaper goods previously imported, and so on. In addition, the Chancellor could provide fiscal stimulus to boost growth.

Inflation. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) measure of inflation has been falling from a peak of 3.1% in November 2017 to 1.8% in January 2019. In the February Bank of England quarterly Inflation Report, inflation was forecast to still be marginally above its 2% inflation target two years ahead given a scenario of minimal increases in Bank Rate.

The **labour market** figures in the three months to December were particularly strong with an emphatic increase in total employment of 167,000 over the previous three months, unemployment at 4.0%, a 43 year low on the Independent Labour Organisation measure, and job vacancies hitting an all-time high, indicating that employers are having major difficulties filling job vacancies with suitable staff. It was therefore unsurprising that wage inflation rose to its high point of 3.4%, (3 month average regular pay, excluding bonuses). This means that in real terms, (i.e. wage rates less CPI inflation), earnings are currently growing by about 1.6%, the highest level since 2009. This increase in household spending power is likely to feed through into providing some support to the overall rate of economic growth in the coming

months. This tends to confirm that the MPC was right to start on a cautious increase in Bank Rate in August 2018 as it views wage inflation in excess of 3% as increasing inflationary pressures within the UK economy.

Brexit. The Brexit deal put forward by the Conservative minority government was defeated in a vote in the House of Commons on 15 January and its motions were again defeated on 14 and 27 February. Prime Minister May is currently seeking some form of modification or clarification from the EU of how the Irish border backstop would be implemented. She has pushed back the date for the Commons to have a meaningful debate and vote on her deal, on 12 March. This was again voted down, giving MPs the chance to vote on March 13 on leaving the EU without a deal. If that is also rejected, then there will be another vote on March 14 on delaying the end date for Brexit from 29 March by extending Article 50. The current views are that this could be a delay until 23 May, which is the date for the EU parliamentary elections, or three months. However, there are unconfirmed reports that the EU could press for a 21 month delay to give more time for negotiations. This could be interpreted as the EU putting pressure on the core group of hard Brexit MPs in the Conservative Party to agree to May's deal as a 21 month delay could open the way for Brexit to never happen and for the UK therefore to remain in the EU. These developments now mean that the chances of a hard Brexit have fallen, though they have not been eliminated. An extension to Article 50 would require agreement from all 27 countries remaining in the EU.

However, Link's central position is currently based on the Government enduring, despite various setbacks, along the route to reaching an orderly Brexit either by 29 March 2019 or soon after. If, however, the UK faces a general election in the next 12 months, this could result in a potential loosening of monetary and fiscal policy and therefore medium to longer dated gilt yields could rise on the expectation of a weak pound and concerns around inflation picking up.

USA. President Trump's massive easing of fiscal policy has fuelled a temporary boost in consumption during 2018 and caused an upturn in the rate of strong growth from 2.2% (annualised rate) in quarter 1 to 4.2% in quarter 2, and 3.4%, in quarter 3, followed by a tailing off to 2.6% in quarter 4. This left the overall growth rate for 2018 at 2.9%, which was the best performance since 2015. However, forward indicators are headed downwards, confirming that the stimulus looks likely to have only caused a temporary spurt of exceptionally strong growth. The strong growth in employment numbers over the last year and an unemployment rate of 3.8%, a recent 49 year low, has fed through to an upturn in wage inflation which hit 3.4% in February. However, CPI inflation overall fell to 1.6% in January and looks to be on a falling trend to continue below the Fed's target of 2% during 2019.

The Fed continued on its series of increases in interest rates with another 0.25% increase in December to between 2.25% and 2.50%, which was the fifth increase in 2018 and the ninth in this cycle. However, they dropped any specific reference to expecting further increases at

their January 30 meeting. The last increase in December compounded investor fears that the Fed could overdo the speed and level of increases in rates in 2019 and so cause a US recession as a result. There is also much evidence in previous monetary policy cycles of the Fed's series of increases doing exactly that. Consequently, we saw stock markets around the world falling under the weight of fears around the Fed's actions, the trade war between the US and China and an expectation that world growth will slow. Since the more reassuring words of the Fed at their January meeting, equity values have rebounded on a return of investor confidence and positive news on progress in the US – China tariff talks, which appear to be heading towards a positive resolution. The minutes of the Fed's meeting did throw some light on the rising possibility that the Fed will halt its balance sheet run down in the second half of 2019, i.e. that it will then change to reinvesting all maturing debt of all types - but only by investing in Treasuries. This measure would provide support to economic growth by putting some upward pressure on the price of Treasuries i.e. lowering Treasury yields and therefore interest rates in financial markets.

Eurozone. Growth was 0.4% in quarters 1 and 2 but fell back to 0.2% in quarters 3 and 4 (1.2% y/y). Germany only narrowly avoided slipping into recession in quarter 4 whereas Italy did slip into recession. The trend of economic statistics is now indicating that growth is likely to weaken further in 2019. Having halved its quantitative easing purchases of debt in October 2018 to €15bn per month, the European Central Bank ended all further purchases in December 2018. In its January meeting, it made a point of underlining that it will be fully reinvesting all maturing debt for an extended period of time past the date at which it starts raising the key ECB interest rates. Then in its March meeting, the ECB took action to counter the downturn in growth by announcing an economic stimulus measure via a third round of TLTROs, a policy measure which enables banks to borrow cheaply from the ECB with a two year maturity. Such loans will be capped at 30% of eligible loans and will be issued every three months from September 2019 until March 2021. The ECB also downgraded its growth forecasts for the EZ from 1.7% to only 1.1% in 2019, It also cut its forecasts for core CPI inflation to 1.2% for 2019, 1.4% in 2020 and 1.6% in 2021, i.e. comfortably within its target range of 0 to 2%.

China. Economic growth has been weakening over successive years, despite repeated rounds of central bank stimulus; medium term risks are increasing. Major progress still needs to be made to eliminate excess industrial capacity and the stock of unsold property, and to address the level of non-performing loans in the banking and credit systems. Progress has been made in reducing the rate of credit creation, particularly from the shadow banking sector, which is feeding through into lower economic growth. There are concerns that official economic statistics are inflating the published rate of growth.

Japan - has been struggling to stimulate consistent significant GDP growth and to get inflation up to its target of 2%, despite huge monetary and fiscal stimulus. It is also making little progress on fundamental reform of the economy. It is likely that loose monetary policy will endure for some years yet to try to stimulate growth and modest inflation.

Emerging countries. Argentina and Turkey are currently experiencing major headwinds

and are facing challenges in external financing requirements well in excess of their reserves of foreign exchange. However, these countries are small in terms of the overall world economy, (around 1% each), so the fallout from the expected recessions in these countries will be minimal.

INTEREST RATE FORECASTS

The interest rate forecasts provided by Link Asset Services in paragraph 3.3 are **predicated on an assumption of an agreement being reached on Brexit between the UK and the EU**. On this basis, while GDP growth is likely to be subdued in 2019 due to all the uncertainties around Brexit depressing consumer and business confidence, an agreement is likely to lead to a boost to the rate of growth in subsequent years which could, in turn, increase inflationary pressures in the economy and so cause the Bank of England to resume a series of gentle increases in Bank Rate. Just how fast, and how far, those increases will occur and rise to, will be data dependent. The forecasts in this report assume a modest recovery in the rate and timing of stronger growth and in the corresponding response by the Bank in raising rates.

- In the event of an **orderly non-agreement exit**, it is likely that the Bank of England would take action to cut Bank Rate from 0.75% in order to help economic growth deal with the adverse effects of this situation. This is also likely to cause short to medium term gilt yields to fall.
- If there was a **disorderly Brexit**, then any cut in Bank Rate would be likely to last for a longer period and also depress short and medium gilt yields correspondingly. Quantitative easing could also be restarted by the Bank of England. It is also possible that the government could act to protect economic growth by implementing fiscal stimulus.

However, there would appear to be a majority consensus in the Commons against any form of non-agreement exit so the chance of this occurring has now substantially diminished.

The balance of risks to the UK

- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably neutral.
- The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates, are probably also even and are broadly dependent on how strong GDP growth turns out, how slowly inflation pressures subside, and how quickly the Brexit negotiations move forward positively.

One risk that is both an upside and downside risk, is that all central banks are now working in very different economic conditions than before the 2008 financial crash as there has been a major increase in consumer and other debt due to the exceptionally low levels of borrowing rates that have prevailed for ten years since 2008. This means that the neutral rate of interest in an economy, (i.e. the rate that is neither expansionary nor deflationary), is difficult to

determine definitively in this new environment, although central banks have made statements that they expect it to be much lower than before 2008. Central banks could therefore either over or under do increases in central interest rates.

Downside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates currently include:

- **Brexit** – if it were to cause significant economic disruption and a major downturn in the rate of growth.
- **Bank of England** takes action too quickly, or too far, over the next three years to raise Bank Rate and causes UK economic growth, and increases in inflation, to be weaker than we currently anticipate.
- A resurgence of the **Eurozone sovereign debt crisis**, possibly **Italy**, due to its high level of government debt, low rate of economic growth and vulnerable banking system, and due to the election in March 2018 of a government which has made a lot of anti-austerity noise. The EU rejected the original proposed Italian budget and demanded cuts in government spending. The Italian government nominally complied with this rebuttal – but only by delaying into a later year the planned increases in expenditure. This particular can has therefore only been kicked down the road. The rating agencies have downgraded Italian debt to one notch above junk level. If Italian debt were to fall below investment grade, many investors would be unable to hold Italian debt. Unsurprisingly, investors are becoming increasingly concerned by the actions of the Italian government and consequently, Italian bond yields have risen sharply – at a time when the government faces having to refinance large amounts of debt maturing in 2019.
- Weak capitalisation of some **European banks**. Italian banks are particularly vulnerable; one factor is that they hold a high level of Italian government debt - debt which is falling in value. This is therefore undermining their capital ratios and raises the question of whether they will need to raise fresh capital to plug the gap.
- **German minority government**. In the German general election of September 2017, Angela Merkel's CDU party was left in a vulnerable minority position dependent on the fractious support of the SPD party, as a result of the rise in popularity of the anti-immigration AfD party. Then in October 2018, the results of the Bavarian and Hesse state elections radically undermined the SPD party and showed a sharp fall in support for the CDU. As a result, the SPD had a major internal debate as to whether it could continue to support a coalition that is so damaging to its electoral popularity. After the result of the Hesse state election, Angela Merkel announced that she would not stand for re-election as CDU party leader at her party's convention in December 2018. However, this makes little practical difference as she has continued as Chancellor. However, there are five more state elections coming up in 2019 and EU parliamentary elections in May/June; these could result in a further loss of electoral support for both the CDU and SPD which could also undermine her leadership.
- **Other minority EU governments**. Sweden, Spain, Portugal, Netherlands and Belgium all have vulnerable minority governments dependent on coalitions which could prove fragile. The Spanish government failed to pass a national budget in mid February so a snap general election is now scheduled for April 28.
- **Italy, Austria, the Czech Republic and Hungary** now form a strongly anti-immigration bloc within the EU. Elections to the EU parliament are due in May/June 2019.

- The increases in interest rates in the US during 2018, combined with a potential trade war between the USA and China, sparked major volatility in equity markets during the final quarter of 2018 and into 2019. Some **emerging market countries** which have borrowed heavily in dollar denominated debt, could be particularly exposed to investor flight from equities to safe havens, typically US treasuries, German bunds and UK gilts.
- There are concerns around the level of **US corporate debt** which has swollen massively during the period of low borrowing rates in order to finance mergers and acquisitions. This has resulted in the debt of many large corporations being downgraded to a BBB credit rating, close to junk status. Indeed, 48% of total investment grade corporate debt is now rated at BBB. If such corporations fail to generate profits and cash flow to reduce their debt levels as expected, this could tip their debt into junk ratings which will increase their cost of financing and further negatively impact profits and cash flow.
- **Geopolitical risks**, especially North Korea, but also in Europe and the Middle East, which could lead to increasing safe haven flows.

Upside risks to current forecasts for UK gilt yields and PWLB rates

- **Brexit** – if both sides were to agree a compromise that removed all threats of economic and political disruption.
- **The Fed causing a sudden shock in financial markets** through a sharp change of mind from 'being patient', to resuming raising the Fed Funds Rate, and in the pace and strength of reversal of QE, which then leads to a fundamental reassessment by investors of the relative risks of holding bonds, as opposed to equities. This could lead to a major flight from bonds to equities and a sharp increase in bond yields in the US, which could then spill over into impacting bond yields around the world.
- The **Bank of England is too slow** in its pace and strength of increases in Bank Rate and, therefore, allows inflationary pressures to build up too strongly within the UK economy, which then necessitates a later rapid series of increases in Bank Rate faster than we currently expect.
- **UK inflation**, whether domestically generated or imported, returning to sustained significantly higher levels causing an increase in the inflation premium inherent to gilt yields.

Brexit timetable and process

- March 2017: UK government notified the European Council of its intention to leave under the Treaty on European Union Article 50 on 29 March 2019.
- 25.11.18 EU27 leaders endorsed the withdrawal agreement
- Dec 2018 vote in the UK Parliament on the agreement was postponed
- 21.12.18 – 8.1.19 UK parliamentary recess
- 15.1.19 Brexit deal defeated in the Commons vote by a large margin
- 28.1.19 Further votes in the Commons
- 14.2.19 Further votes in the Commons
- 27.2.19 Further votes in the Commons
- By 12.3.19 Meaningful vote on May's deal in the Commons
- 13.13.19 MPs will be able to vote on whether to leave the EU without a deal

- 14.3.19 if leaving without a deal is rejected, there will then be a vote on delaying Brexit from the 29th March to a later date. However, all 27 countries in the EU would have to agree on an extension before 29th March; some key EU leaders have said there would need to be a clear plan for an extension for them to agree to it.
- 21.3.19 European Council summit at which a Brexit option could be considered
- By 29.3.19 another vote (?) in UK parliament
- By 29.3.19 if the UK Parliament approves a deal, then ratification by the EU Parliament requires a simple majority
- By 29.3.19 if the UK and EU parliaments agree the deal, the EU Council needs to approve the deal; 20 countries representing 65% of the EU population must agree
- 29.3.19 Either the UK leaves the EU, or asks the EU for agreement to an extension of the Article 50 period if the UK Parliament has been unable to agree on a Brexit deal.
- 29.3.19: if an agreement is reached with the EU on the terms of Brexit, then this will be followed by a proposed **transition period ending around December 2020**.
- UK continues as a full EU member until March 2019 with access to the single market and tariff free trade between the EU and UK. Different sectors of the UK economy may leave the single market and tariff free trade at different times during the transition period.
- The UK and EU would attempt to negotiate, among other agreements, a bi-lateral trade agreement over that period.
- The UK would aim for a negotiated agreed withdrawal from the EU, although the UK could also exit without any such agreements in the event of a breakdown of negotiations.
- If the UK exits without an agreed deal with the EU, World Trade Organisation rules and tariffs could apply to trade between the UK and EU.
- On full exit from the EU: the UK parliament would repeal the 1972 European Communities Act.

5.4 APPENDIX: TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (TMP1) – CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY RISK MANAGEMENT

SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS: All such investments will be sterling denominated, with **maturities up to maximum of 365 days**, meeting the minimum 'high' quality criteria where applicable.

NON-SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS: These are any investments which do not meet the specified investment criteria.

A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made, it will fall into one of the above categories.

The criteria, time limits and monetary limits applying to institutions or investment vehicles are:

	Minimum credit criteria / colour band	** Max % of total investments/ £ limit per institution	Max. maturity period
DMADF – UK Government	N/A	100%/No limit	6 months
UK Government gilts	UK sovereign rating	100%/No Limit	12 months
UK Government Treasury bills	UK sovereign rating	100%/No limit	12 months
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.25	AAA	100%	Liquid
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5	AAA	100%	Liquid
Local authorities	N/A	100%	12 months

Term deposits with banks and building societies	Blue }		12 months
	Orange }	£15m	12 months
	Red }	£30m	6 months
£30m with any one banking group or institution	Green }		100 days
	No Colour		Not for use

Accounting treatment of investments: The accounting treatment may differ from the underlying cash transactions arising from investment decisions made by this PCC. To ensure that the PCC is protected from any adverse revenue impact, which may arise from these differences, we will review the accounting implications of new transactions before they are undertaken.

5.5 APPENDIX: TREASURY MANAGEMENT SCHEME OF DELEGATION

The Police and Crime Commissioner

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- approval of annual strategy;
- approval of/amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses, treasury management policy statement and treasury management practices;
- budget consideration and approval;
- approval of the division of responsibilities;
- receiving and reviewing regular monitoring reports and acting on recommendations;
- approving the selection of external service providers and agreeing terms of appointment.

The Joint Independent Audit Committee

- reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to the PCC.

5.6 APPENDIX:THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT ROLE OF THE SECTION 151 OFFICER

The S151 (responsible) officer

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- recommending the appointment of external service providers.
- preparation of a capital strategy to include capital expenditure, capital financing, and treasury management, with a long term timeframe
- ensuring that the capital strategy is prudent, sustainable, affordable and prudent in the long term and provides value for money
- ensuring that due diligence has been carried out on all investments and is in accordance with the risk appetite of the authority

PLEASE COMPLETE AND APPEND THE FOLLOWING TABLE TO ALL REPORTS THAT REQUIRE A DECISION FROM THE COMMISSIONER

This matrix provides a simple check list for the things you need to have considered within your report. If there are no implications please state

I have informed and sought advice from HR, Legal, Finance, OPCC officer(s) etc. prior to submitting this report for official comments	Legal Advice has not been sought from the Head of Legal Services as the TMSS reflects previous decisions and is supported by information from external consultants Link Asset Services in terms of the relevant legislation..
Is this report proposing an amendment to the budget?	The costs can be met form within the approved budget included within the MTRS.
Value for money considerations have been accounted for within the report	The Treasury Team manage the function to achieve the best return on investment in line with the risk appetite and also to secure loans at the most advantageous rates.
The report is approved by the relevant Chief Officer	The report has been prepared by the Deputy Chief Executive and Treasurer.
I have included any procurement/commercial issues/implications within the report	There are no direct procurement transactions as the treasury management function relies on operations with approved brokers in the money markets.
I have liaised with Corporate Communications on any communications issues	There are no communications issues as this represents day normal day to day activities.
I have completed an Equalities Impact Assessment and the outcomes are included within the report	There are no equalities issues arising from the report.
I have included any equalities, diversity and or human rights implications within the report	There are no equalities issues arising from the report.
Any Health and Safety implications are included within the report	There are no health and safety issues arising from the report.
I have included information about how this report contributes to the delivery of the Commissioner's Police and Crime Plan	The report includes information on how the service contributes to the delivery of the Police and Crime Plan.

